

S

UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES "KING MIHAI I" FROM Timisoara Multidisciplinary Conference on Sustainable Development 25-26 May 2023

Comparative study on productive performance of domestic rabbit hybrids in different feeding systems



Marioara Nicula-Neagu1*, Ioan Pet1, Lavinia Stef1, Pătruică Silvia1, Dorel Dronca1, Mirela Ahmadi1, Erina Silvia1, Adela Marcu1, Calin Julean1, Iasmina Savescu1

University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timisoara, Aradului, 119, 300645-Timisoara, Romania

INTRODUCTION

Most domestic rabbits are given a commercial feed that provides essential nutrients. However, some rabbit farmers prefer to formulate, or home make, their own rabbit ration precisely to reduce the cost of feed. On the other hand, commercial feed provides the required nutrients into a small volume, but is the opposite of the rabbit's natural diet.

Based on this consideration we tried to establish the existence of differences, but also the size of the differences in terms of bioproductive indices during the growing-fattening period and the meat quality of the F1 domestic rabbit hybrids obtained from \mathcal{Q} Californian x \mathcal{J} German Giant that were fed with two different diets: commercial pellets 80% replaced with an own concentrates mixture and 2. commercial pelleted feed exclusively (Figure 2).



Figure 1. Breeding scheme for obtaining F1 QCAL x dUG

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The biological material in the experiment consisted of domestic rabbits from the Californian (CAL) breed as maternal form and German Giant (UGG) breed, as the paternal form that were used to obtain the F1 hybrid generation (Figure 1).



Table 2. Statistical indices regarding the variable average daily gain of the rabbits



Figure 2. Diets .concentates mixture+pellets (left); commercial pelleted feed (right)

RESULTS

Table 1. Statistical indices regarding the variable body weight of the rabbits

	in t	he 2 groups during growing-fa	attening period (g)		in the 2 groups during parturition-slaughter period (g)					
	Dav 1	Weaning (60 days)	90 davs	120 davs		1-60 days	60-90 days	90-120 davs		
	G1 (♀	CAL x 🖧 UG) - concentrat	es mixture + pellets			G1 (♀CAL x ♂UG) - concentrates mixture + pellets				
S	428	10780 ^a	16960 ^a	21500 ^a	S	177ª	206.01ª	151.32 ^a		
X	61.16	1540	2423	3071	Х	25.29	29.43	21.61		
5D	1.069	60.82	70.40	138.73	SD	0.43	0.53	2.94		
		$\mathbf{G2} (\mathbf{\mathbf{\mathcal{G}CAL}} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{\mathbf{\mathcal{G}UG}})$	- pellets			G2 (♀CAL x ♂UG) - pellets				
S	440	11180 ^a	17550 ^a	22130 ^a	S	183.66ª	212.34 ^a	152.67ª		
X	63	1598	2507	3161	x	26.23	30.33	21.81		
SD	1.34	69.21	88.26	117.39	SD SD	0.86	0.00	1.60		
р	< 0.05	p>0.05	p>0.05	p>0.05	50	0.80	0.90	1.09		
		•	•	-	D	p<0.05	p>0.05	p>0.05		



Figure 3. Carcasses and viscera of 2 individuals belonging to the two groups

Table 3. Slav	ughter performa	nce and organs pe	creentage of F1 i	ndividuals	Table 4. Chemical composition of meat samples of F1 individuals					
Voriable	G1 ♀CAL x ♂UH		G2 ♀CAL x ♂UG		р	Variable	Variable G1 ♀CAL x ♂UG G2 ♀CAL x ♂		D	
variable	Х	DS	Х	DS		Back				
Body weight (g)	3072 ^a	1.14	3161 ^a	1.88		DM %	30.04 ^a	30.09 ^a		
carcass weight (g)	1717 ^a	4.1	1758 ^a	1.21		CP %	20.63ª	20.42ª	>0.05	
Digestive tract %	560 ^a	2.07	590ª	2.4		CG %	6.80ª	7.31ª	>0.05	
Liver %	108 ^a	0.9	115 ^a	1.02	. 0.05	Ash %	1.37ª	1.08 ^a		
Lung %	37ª	5.5 33 ^a 4.92 >0.1		>0.05	Hip					
Heart %	8 ^a	0.87	8 ^a	1.94		DM %	27.20 ^a	27.49 ^a		
Kidney %	21ª	1.92	20 ^a	2.57		СР %	18.50 ^a	18.67 ^a	> 0.05	
Spleen %	3 ^a	2.01	3 ^a	1,99		CG %	5.98ª	7.11 ^a	>0.03	
slaughter yield %	51.25 ^a	1.10	51.71 ^a	176		Ash %	1.19 ^a	1.07 ^a		
					Tigh					
						DM %	27.00 ^a	29.20 ^a		
						CP %	19.24ª	19.69 ^a	0.05	

CG %

Ash %

CONCLUSIONS

Our findings were:

- a better growth rate recorded by F1 QCalifornian x dGerman Giant individuals belonging to group 2 during the period 1-60 days, which then decreases until 120 days of ages;

significant differences regarding the average daily gain of the rabbits in the two groups during 1-60 days of life, in favor of those fed with pellets;

- insignificant differences between the individuals of the two groups regarding the chemical

meat composition and the commercial characteristics of the carcass;

- similar productive performance (p≥0.05) between the experimental groups when replacing part of the pellets with a concentrated mixture compared to feeding exclusively with pellets;

6.09^a

1.17^a

>0.05

5.73^a

1.26^a

- higher but insignificant proportion of abdominal fat deposits in individuals fed exclusively with pelleted feed.